| Section #                               | Content from the RFT   | Question   | auDA response   |
|---|--|--|---|
| RSD 30.8 (c)<br>(d) General<br>Security | (c) All credential exchanges with users of the Registry System must either be performed over a secure mechanism with someone whose identity has already been asserted or, for example, in establishing an initial interface, by a secure, out of band mechanism, with validation of the recipient.  (d) All interactions with the Technical Support Department that are requesting access to sensitive information (non-general information) or any modification to information must be securely authenticated, and all such information must be communicated over a secure channel – standard email is not considered a secure channel. | Is an encrypted file (like a zip) over<br>email considered a secure channel?   | Yes, in certain circumstances such as those outlined in the paragraphs below.  An acceptable example would be a file that is sent by email that is encrypted using a PGP key, and the PGP key allows for each party to encrypt/decrypt data based on a key pair.  An unacceptable example would be encrypting a zip file and sending it via email, and then in a separate plain text email, sending the passphrase to decrypt the encrypted zip file.  The passphrase should in this example be provided over the phone or made available through other channels. |
| RSD 8.3 (g)                             | (iii) domain reset Registrant email;   | Can auDA please elaborate further on what this functionality is referring to? Is this related to the Welcome Email for Registrants, or other domain functionality? | The functionality is the ability to update the registrant contact email address.  It is not related to the Welcome Email for Registrants.   |

| Section #           | Content from the RFT  | Question  | auDA response  |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| RSD 9.5 (e)         |   | Is AUDA asking for a completely separate web interface intended for human web clients?  | Yes - this is a separate web interface for human users that provides access to the RDAP functionality.   |
|                     |   | If so, what is the difference between the HTTPS based Public WHOIS Interface defined at 9.4 and this new one (beyond authentication)?                       | The web interface should allow the human user to enter credentials (e.g. username and password) to gain access to additional levels of information.          |
|                     |   | If so, are the authentication requirements at (v) and (vi) only applicable to the web interface or are they also targeted towards the RDAP based interface? | The difference with the HTTPS based Public WHOIS service, is that an RDAP interface will allow for authenicated access to different levels of information.   |
| RSD 6.13 (b)<br>(i) | Where a domain name may require an approval the 'create' request should create the domain name in a 'pendingCreate' status. auDA should be able to review the registration and if it approves the registration, the domain name can transition to an actual created status. | Would auDA be open to an alternate solution that achieves the same goal?  | Yes. The key requirement is that the Registry System must have the capability to prohibit the registration of, or require approval of, certain domain names. |

| Section #         | Content from the RFT                     | Question   | auDA response                            |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| RSD<br>8.3(f)(xx) | (xx) view and acknowledge poll messages; | The RSD mentions this as Registry EPP interface, however, Annex D, Section 6 asks us to describe the Web Portal functionality. Can you confirm that acknowledging poll queue messages refers to EPP functionality? | Yes - this applies to EPP functionality. |